



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

COT ON ADMINISTRA	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
HENRY W CUMMINGS CB10 W ADAMS ST ST CHARLES MO 63301 DM82/0413 THOMSON, M ARTUNIT PAPER NU 3641	09/170,423	10/15/98	DHICHMANN		53	WW8
MENRY W CUMMINGS 3313 W ADAMS ST ST CHARLES MO 63301 THOMSON, M ARTUNIT PAPER NU 3641				\neg	·	EXAMINER
ST CHARLES MO 63301 2 ARTUNIT PAPER NU 3641	HENRY IS CHIV	WTN/SS	PMBH/0418		THOMSO	N M
3641			•			PAPER NUMBER
DATE MAILED:	ST CHARLES	MO 63301			3641	2
					DATE MAILED:	04/13/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

<u></u>			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/173,423 DEICHMANN ET AL.		
omec Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michelle R Thomson	3641	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	'IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH	(S) FROM	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Status 	cation. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of period will apply and will expire SIX (6)	of thirty (30) days will MONTHS from the mailing date of this	
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 C</u>	october 1998		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E	nce except for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.	
A) □ Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-50 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claims are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 15 October 1998 is/are 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	vn from consideration. election requirement. r. objected to by the Examiner is: a) □ approved b) □ disap	proved.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of the CERTIFI 1. received. 2. received in Application No. (Series Code 3. received in this National Stage application * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestication.	ED copies of the priority documed / Serial Number) In from the International Bureau of the certified copies not received.	ents have been: (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ed.	
Attachment(s)			
14) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 5)	18) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/173,423 Page 2

Art Unit: 3641

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure

statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information

submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be

incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless

the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been

considered.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to because the drawings are replete with errors. The following

are considered examples of and not inclusive of errors.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because

numerous reference characters have been used to designate more than one object, for example:

reference character "10" has been used to designate both accumulator and stop assembly.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they

do not include numerous reference sign(s) mentioned in the description such as: 112, 110, 106,

103.

The drawings do not contain Figure 2G

3. The informal drawings are not of sufficient quality to permit examination. Accordingly,

new drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

Specification

Application/Control Number: 09/173,423 Page 3

Art Unit: 3641

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: 37 CFR 1.52 (b) states that the pages of the specification including claims and abstract must be numbered consecutively starting with 1, the numbers being centrally located above or preferably, below, the text.

Appropriate correction is required.

- 5. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it should be limited to a single paragraph within the range of 50 to 250 words. The abstract should not exceed 25 lines of text.

 Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 6. 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, requires the specification to be written in "full, clear, concise, and exact terms." The specification is replete with terms that are not clear, concise and exact. The specification should be revised carefully in order to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Examples of some unclear, inexact or verbose terms used in the specification are: "nlot" line 17 under section II, "cannor" line 1 under section I. These are considered examples of and not inclusive of the numerous spelling and grammatical errors contained in the specification.
- 7. The disclosure is objected to under 37 CFR 1.71, as being so incomprehensible as to preclude a reasonable search of the prior art by the examiner.

Applicant is required to submit an amendment which clarifies the disclosure so that the examiner may make a proper comparison of the invention with the prior art.

Applicant should be careful not to introduce any new matter into the disclosure (i.e., matter which is not supported by the disclosure as originally filed).

Application/Control Number: 09/173,423

Art Unit: 3641

, ,

Claim Objections

8. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.75 (f) which requires the claims to be numbered consecutively in Arabic numerals.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

9. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

10. Claims 1-50 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification is replete with spelling and grammatical errors. The numerous errors render the application non-enabling. The following list is considered examples of and not inclusive of the errors rendering the specification unclear and inconcise.

"the the" in claim 1

"siad" in claim 25

"horizontel" and "verical" throughout the claims

11. Claims 1-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3641

12. Claims 1-5 are replete with insufficient antecedent limitations. For example claims 25-46 recite the limitation "first valve means" in line 14. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 1-50 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited. The errors contained within the specification and claims prevent the examination on merits of the application.

Conclusion

- 14. The meets and bounds of the claimed limitations are too indefinite to make a patentability determination based upon prior art at this time. If applicant continues to prosecute the application, revision of the specification and claims to present the application in proper form is required. While an application can be amended to make it clearly understandable, no subject matter can be added that was not disclosed in the application as originally filed.
- 15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Yost (U.S Patent # 1,190,356), Smith (U.S Patent # 2,094,854), Briggs (U.S Patent # 5,820,472), Allais et al. (U.S Patent # 5,056,409), Petermeier et al. (U.S Patent # 6,012,722), Blanchard (U.S Patent # 5,480,336), and Speights (U.S Patent # 2,940,466).

Application/Control Number: 09/173,423

Art Unit: 3641

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 6

examiner should be directed to Michelle (Shelley) Thomson whose telephone number is

703.306.4176. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Charles Jordan can be reached on 703.306.4159. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703.305.0285 for regular

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.1113.

Shelley Thomson Art Unit 3641

4/4/00

Charles T. Jordan

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3600